







of mass production. His romantic faith in making things by hand has led to a fusing of artwork and commodity - essentially, AVL produces utilitarian art. "I don't use computers to design," says van Lieshout. "I use computers to calculate how much profit you can make in a concentration camp."

Another rumour I've heard about van Lieshout is that the only book he has ever read is Machiavelli's The Prince. At the very, least you would expect him to have read some Kafka. "I never read fiction," he says. "I'm more interested in research into how architecture and design is used to have a goal." Lately he's read two biographies of the Nazi architect Albert Speer, and a book that raises a genuine flush of enthusiasm. "I bought this book, it's about 1,200 pages of Nazi architecture – a guide. Its like 1,200 pages," he repeats excitedly, "there's thousands and thousands of buildings built by the Nazis for different goals."

The man is a bundle of contradictions, or, at least it's fair to say that his work plays on oppositions: the rational and the irrational, the good and the bad, the utopian and the dystopian. He can empathise with the cold rationalism of Nazi architecture and yet he will also produce works that are humorous, scatological, sexually liberated and a poke in the eye of middle-class embarrassment. Among these is a series of sculptures of human organs, giant anatomical models of a liver, a penis or a vagina. They are provocative in their bad taste.

"Did you see the Bar Rectum?" asks van Lieshout with a mixture of mischief and pride. This selfexplanatory piece is a new installation in the forecourt of Rotterdam's Boijmans van Beuningen Museum. Somehow, it's very Dutch. It also adds to the growing sense I am getting that - with the focus on sex and death, the profane and the absurd and imaginatively gruesome punishments - that van Lieshout is a latterday Hieronymous Bosch.

Van Lieshout is one of the few artists or designers with such an obviously moral dimension to his work, ambiguous though it often is. The obvious guestion to ask a man with his creative ambitions and a secessionist tendency to boot, is how far can he take his vision? If it weren't for the commercial pressures of having to sell work and sustain such a large studio then, he says, "it would be really fantastic to build this world. Some king or queen should gives us a couple of million a year ... that would be the best. They would probably have something fabulous after 20 or 30 years. There's no place in the whole world that would look like this."